

Application Note No. 098

# High Throughput Multi-Residue Screening of Drinking Water using the SPE-DEX<sub>-</sub> and Pegasus GC-TOF-MS

Steve Scott, Thames Water, Reading, UK Diane Turner.

## Introduction

In cases of water contamination, an important objective for the water industry is to decrease turnaround times of samples and increase capacity. Traditional techniques involve the liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) of the drinking water samples with dichloromethane followed by drying with sodium sulphate, a concentration step and GC-MS analysis. The total analysis time is 2-3 hours depending on the number of peaks found and if the peak(s) were identifiable in the database.

A new approach to this analysis has been tried using automated solid phase extraction (SPE) with drying of the extract using a Gore-Tex membrane and analysis by GC-TOF-MS; this reduces the total analysis time to 30 minutes.

The Horizon Technology SPE-DEX- is a fully automated extraction system providing speed, accuracy and simplicity for aqueous organic extractions. It delivers all necessary solvents, processes the sample directly from the original sample bottle and thoroughly rinses it, extracts the analyte from the SPE disk into a collection vessel then purges the extractor in preparation for the next sample.

Horizon Technology's DryDisk<sup>TM</sup> and solvent drying system is a physical separation process for the removal of residual water from organic extractions. It uses a Gore-Tex hydrophobic membrane with selective permeability to remove residual water from solvent extracts without retaining the analytes of interest. More consistent recoveries are obtained and faster, without all the problems of chemical drying.

The Pegasus III Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer can collect up to 500 full mass spectra per second, obtaining sufficient data density to accurately characterize even the narrowest peaks and therefore enabling fast GC-MS analyses to be carried out. The Peak Find algorithm automatically locates all peaks in the chromatogram, including co eluting peaks buried beneath the background of the TIC. The Mass Spectral Deconvolution algorithm then automatically extracts the mass spectra for each analyte, free of interferences from the system and matrix backgrounds and co eluting analytes. The automated data processing method quickly and accurately enables the detection and identification of low-level unknown analytes.

Presented here are the initial results from using this system to qualitatively analyze a wide range of different compounds including acids, phenols, triazines, organochlorine and organophosphorous pesticides spiked into tap water at a concentration of 1-10 µg/l.

### Instrumentation and Conditions

• Horizon Technology SPE-DEX- 4790

4-Chlorophenol

2-Methylphenol 2,5-Dimethylphenol 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol

- Horizon Technology DryDisk<sup>TM</sup>
- Optic 2 Programmable Injector
- Focus Robotic Sample Processor
- Agilent 6890 GC
- Leco Pegasus III ToFMS
- Leco ChromaTOF<sup>TM</sup> software

# Compound List

**Phenols:** 

Phenol

3-Methylphenol	2,4-Dimethylphenol	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
4-Methylphenol	3,5-Dimethylphenol	Pentachlorophenol
2-Chlorophenol	2,4-Dichlorophenol	-
	_	
OPPs/OCPs:		
Dichlorvos	á-HCH	Hexachlorobutadiene
Tecnazene	ã-HCH	Hexachlorobenzene
Trifluralin	â-HCH	Propetamphos
Diazinon	ä-HCH	Parathion-methyl
Heptachlor	Endrin	Parathion-ethyl
Fenitrothion	Aldrin	Heptachlor epoxide
Cyanazine	Isodrin	cis-Chlordane
Malathion	p,p-DDE	trans-Chlordane
Fenthion	o,p-TDE	á-Endosulfan
Dieldrin	p,p-TDE	â-Endosulfan
Methoxychlor	o,p-DDT	Azinphos-methyl
Carbofenothion	p,p-DDT	Azinphos-ethyl
Triazines:		
Simazine Simazine	Chlortoluron	Diuron Prometryn
Atrazine		Linuron Terbutryn
	Isoproturon	•
Propazine	Tebuthiuron	Monuron Ametryn
Propyzamide	Carbetamide	

4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol

Internet: www.glsciences.eu



Acids: Dicamba	Dichlorprop	2,4-D	MCPP
Trichlorpyr	Bromoxynil	2,4,5-T	MCPB
Ioxynil	Bentazone	PCP	MCPA
Pichloram	Fluroxypur	2,4-DB	Fenoprop
Benazolin	Clovralid	2.3.6-TBA	

#### Internal standards:

d <sub>6</sub> -Benzene	d10-p-Xylene	d5-Chlorobenzene
d <sub>6</sub> -Phenol	d <sub>12</sub> -BHT	ds-Naphthalene
d <sub>34</sub> -Hexadecane d <sub>1</sub>	o-Phenanthrene d	l62-Squalane

# Methods

1 liter of dechlorinated tap water was spiked with pesticides,

Acidified to pH2 and loaded onto the SPE-DEX~. The system automatically pre-conditioned the 50 mm hydrophilic disk with DCM, acetone and water before extracting the sample through it. The analytes were then eluted from the disk with DCM and the extract dried using the DryDisk<sup>TM</sup>, a portion was then transferred to a vial for analysis. The final extract volume was not controlled or concentrated, therefore quantization was not possible. The extract was also analyzed following a methylation step. In one instance, after extracting the water sample through the disk at pH2, the water was collected, the pH adjusted to pH12 and the sample passed through the disk a second time.

A 1 µl cold splitless injection was carried out for analysis of the samples by GC-TOFMS. A fast oven temperature ramp rate was used with a narrow bore column and a fast column flow of 1.8 ml/min.





Figure 1: Dibromochloromethane peak at retention time 205s in dechlorinated pH2 extract spiked at 10 µg/L


## Results

The SPE-DEX~ was shown to work for all groups of compounds evaluated, including triazines, phenols, acids, organochlorine and 1000 organophosphorous pesticides. Even very volatile compounds found in tap water like the THMs: Dibromochloromethane 500 (Figures 1 & 2), tribromomethane and dibromoacetonitrile were extracted through to in volatile compounds like squalane.

The GC-TOF-MS was found to be faster than GC-(quadruple /Library Hit - similarity 0, "Methane, dibromochloroion trap)-MS by an order of magnitude. Analysis of all compounds was completed within ten minutes on a 20 m column and automated data processing took no longer than two minutes. 1000 Within a 2 second time window, see Figure 3, 7 pesticides were resolved, had good peak shapes and were correctly identified by the software, see Figures 4-5.

Difficult compounds like the phenols, dichlorvos and azinphos ethyl and methyl showed good peak shapes even at low-levelCaliper concentrations, see Figures 6-11.





sample "S27-6 pH2:1", 205.33 seconds to 205.3 1000 500 208 100 150 200







Figure 3: (406) Ioxynil Me-; (407) PCP Me-; (408) Heptachlor; (409) Picloram Me-ester; (410) Terbutryn; (411) Fenitrothion in dechlorinated pH2 methylated extract spiked at  $10 \mu g/L$ 



100

1000-

420

400 450 500




Figure 5: Deconvoluted mass spectrum (top), library hit (middle) and total ion mass spectrum (bottom) for methylated pichloram




Figure 6: Phenol-d6 (261.9 s) and phenol (262.2 s) in dechlorinated pH2 extract spiked at 10 μg/L

Sciences B.V. 31 E-mail: info@glsciences.eu Reference Spectrum - Match 735, Reference "Mix", Analyte "Heptachlor"






Figure 7: Deconvoluted mass spectrum (top), library hit (middle) and total ion mass spectrum (bottom) for Phenol-d6 and Phenol with matches of >87%




Figure 8: Dichlorvos (306 s) and HCBD (304 s) in dechlorinated pH2 extract spiked at 10 µg/L




Figure 9: Deconvoluted mass spectrum (top), library hit (middle) and total ion mass spectrum (bottom) for Dichlorvos with a match of




Figure 10: Azinphos methyl (481 s) and ethyl (497 s) in dechlorinated pH2 extract spiked at 10 µg/L






Figure 11: Deconvoluted mass spectrum (top), library hit (middle) and total ion mass spectrum (bottom) for Azinphos methyl and ethyl with spectral matches of 75%. The large peak on the tail of the Azinphos methyl is the d64-Squalane.

# Conclusion

The use of the SPE-DEX- and DryDisk<sup>TM</sup> for the extraction and the Pegasus III TOF-MS for the rapid screening and qualitative analysis of contaminants in drinking water down to the required levels looks promising, although more work needs to be carried out to assess this approach quantitatively. Difficult compounds like azinphos-ethyl and methyl had good recoveries and peak shapes; this is thought to be due to the fast analysis time so they have little time to break down on the GC column. Even shorter GC columns, for example 10 m, could further reduce analysis times.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bob Johnson from Horizon Technology and Richard Fussell and Katan Patel from CSL for their help with the set-up and running of the samples on the instruments used.